Order of the	Kittitas	County
Order of the	Kittitas	County

Board of Equalization

Property Owner:	Kimball Exempt	Family Trust						
Parcel Number(s):	318435							
Assessment Year:	2022		Petition Number:	BE-2201	182			
Date(s) of Hearing:	_11/02/2022	==-,\						
Having considered the evidence presented by the parties in this appeal, the Board hereby: Sustains overrules the determination of the assessor.								
Assessor's True and Fair Value BOE True and Fair Value Determination						nation		
∠ Land	\$	223,750	□ Land		\$	223,750		
Improvement	s \$		Improveme	nts	\$			
Minerals	\$		Minerals		\$			
Personal Prop	erty \$	223,750	Personal Pro		\$	222.750		
Total value	Φ	223,730	Total value	;	a	223,750		
A hearing was held on November 2, 2022. Those present: Ann Shaw, Jessica Hutchinson, Josh Cox, Clerk Emily Smith, Appraiser Kyle Norton, and Appellant Ann Marie Kimball and Keith Butler. The appellant stated that the subject was purchased by her father a long time ago, in 2020 it was purchased by the family. The subject has had an appraisal done on it and is presented in evidence. The comparable properties submitted by the assessor are built lots. There are very restrictive regulations in the development, it has greatly increased in value in the last 3 years. You can't divide the lot and you can't build a duplex on the lot. Additionally, there is going to have a development put in near which will affect the value. It is a steep lot, and there is a lot of interstate noise.								
Jessica Hutchinson asked about the encroachment's issues, there is a small neighboring dwelling just at the edge of the road, the neighbor put a parking pad that would affect the value if they were to build.								
The appraiser stated that the subject is .22 acres and adjustment to the land for partial view. The 2020 sale of the subject wouldn't be a market sale since it was a family sale. He explained the market report and the appraisal process. Hayk was in reevaluation this year. If the condos are built and they do affect the value that will be addressed in that assessment year? Exhibit 2 is the market area of Snoqualmie and Hyak area. Sales support the land value of the subject. There is a view ajustment of \$20,000 on the subject lot. The appellant disagrees.								
The board has determine office. The board voted 3		lue is upheld. The mark	et sales in the neighborhoo	od support	the value given	by the assessor's		
Dated this	day of	December	_, (year)	_				
~ 100	Manil		En .	7				

NOTICE

This order can be appealed to the State Board of Tax Appeals by filing a formal or informal appeal with them at PO Box 40915, Olympia, WA 98504-0915 or at their website at bta.state.wa.us/appeal/forms.htm within thirty days of the date of mailing of this order. The appeal forms are available from either your county assessor or the State Board of Tax Appeals.

To ask about the availability of this publication in an alternate format for the visually impaired, please call 1-800-647-7706. Teletype (TTY) users use the Washington Relay Service by calling 711.

Distribution: • Assessor • Petitioner • BOE File

REV 64 0058 (5/25/2017)